BREAKING NEWS

GOOGLE'S ADVANCED A.I. NEURAL NETWORK SAYS CHINESE MOON PICTURES ARE REAL AND AMERICAN MOON PICTURES ARE FAKE

L. Konovalov and Bart Sibrel

Google's highly advanced A.I., the *American* "*Neural Network*" (*not Chinese or Russian*)*,* just said that the *American* "Apollo" moon pictures are fake and that the Chinese moon pictures (which were taken from an unmanned probe) are real. This was announced to Russian President Vladimir Putin at the latest International A.I. Conference, who was not at all surprised by the findings. The A.I. has never been wrong a single time about such photos.

The link to the Russian-to-English translation of this breaking news story was quickly nullified hours after posting, "Google Translate" then refused to translate a copy of the article from Russian to English, and the original Russian link now even threatens the user with "*You are trying to access a URL that is a suspected child sexual abuse or exploitation material site and will give us access to your data.*" **Wow!** Who would dare click on such a link**?** Google's search engine (originally created with taxpayer funding by the U.S. military's DARPA and the CIA for psychological propaganda) is really trying hard to kill this historical revelation its own Advanced A.I. just made, declaring that the pictures from the corrupt Federal Government's "*Moon* Missions" are indeed fake and that the recent Chinese probe pictures of the moon's surface are very real *in comparison*.

Vladimir Putin at the plenary session of the International Conference AIJ 2023

The important thing to note is that an emotionless *A.I. Neural Network* is completely unbiased and objective, unlike human beings, who are *highly* emotionally biased on the moon landing controversy, either out of misguided (*and exploited*) patriotism, overzealous pride in technology, or simply the unwillingness to admit that they are wrong. It's amazing how much pride blinds you from seeing the Truth.

"We've been thinking for a long time about what to test it on. In the end, we decided to take photos of the U.S. "Apollo" missions, because there is a lot of discussion about whether it was real or not. We ran "Apollo" pictures through the Deepfake Protocol and got a very interesting result." **Nikolay Gerasimenko**

**Vladimir Putin, German Gref, and Nikolai Gerasimenko (from the back)**

Without any emotional attachment, deductive reasoning concludes the simple fact that it is **impossible** for technology to be more advanced in the *Past* than it is in the *Future*. Today, with 50 years better rocket and computer technology, NASA can only send astronauts *One-Thousandth* the distance to the moon than they *claimed* they did on the first attempt, ahead of schedule, with antiquated untried 1960's equipment, which only had *One-Millionth* the computing power of a cell phone.

Courtesy of the Federal Government

The number one *supposed* defense of the alleged "moon missions" is that "thousands of people assembled the parts and you can't keep a secret from that many people." **Really ?** The first atomic bomb was assembled with the assistance of 129,500 people, yet only **8** people knew what they were building. A large national bank, with branches throughout the country, may have about that many bank tellers. Do you really think what a bank teller knows, versus what the CEO knows, about corruption in the bank are identical**?** The tellers are clueless, all 129,500 of them. Do you really think the CIA is so stupid as to tell the person making the spacesuit glove or the rocket's door handle, "*Hey, we're really not going to the moon. Be sure not to tell anyone*" **?**

Unlike World War Two, which took place on Earth in front of **billions** of eyewitnesses, *supposedly* landing on the moon only had **three** eyewitnesses. All on the payroll of the federal government and all ex-military. There were no reporters on the moon for independent verification. We had to trust Richard Nixon, who didn't even have the guts to show up for the historic launch, in case the lie unraveled. He showed up for the *second* launch though, *after* they had safely gotten away with it. Eugene Kranz, flight director for NASA, finally admitted after he retired, that even NASA employees in the heart of their command center could tell no difference whatsoever between an *allegedly* "real" flight to the moon, or a practice simulation, when prerecorded fake flight data was fed to all of their computer screens. If NASA Command Center employees cannot tell the difference between a real and fake moon mission, then how could we tell the difference at home watching it on television**?**

What parts of the "Moon" picture supplied by the Federal Government did Google's A.I. conclude are fake? About **half** of the elements in the picture. (*Highlighted in red*) The A.I. saw multiple discrepancies between the distribution of light on the mountain and the direction of the astronaut's shadow. In addition, the *Neural Network* did not like the blurriness in the foreground and said that it is **not** a real outdoor setting. A section of the mountain to the left behind the "*astronaut's*" back is also highlighted in **red** because the A.I. says it is a fake backdrop. The **entire** astronaut figure is also highlighted in **red**, as the A.I. concludes that it is *actually* not an astronaut at all, rather a **miniature** of an "*astronaut*" (a doll) that was placed on an **electrically lit *miniature* movie set of the moon's surface**.


Miniatures Used in the Set of the James Bond Movie "*Goldeneye*"

The Real Moon _or_ a *Miniature* of the Lunar Lander ?

Natural Sunlight (*Like on the Moon*) - *Parallel Shadows*

**Proof of *Electrical Light*
In the Federal Government's Pictures
The *Intersecting Shadows* of a Movie Light**

A Chinese probe picture of the *Real* lunar surface.

**There are no red highlights at all, because there are no fake images.**

Putin replied to these findings with "*Interesting*" and was not surprised at all.

It is possible that the world's leaders are preparing to release the fact that the early "*Moon Missions*" were **indeed** falsified**?** A hint of such can be seen on the cover of the 2024 *precognitive* Economist magazine forecast for next year, wherein the "*Apollo*" rocket is shown in the *upper-left,* with a noticeable **"?"** beside it.   A high-ranking source in the Chinese Space Agency told filmmaker and investigative journalist Bart Sibrel that their government is well aware of the Federal Government's "*Moon Fraud*", and has been for some time, yet is blackmailing NASA in exchange for space technology for not exposing their fraud, all of which is a violation of the Federal Government's own Espionage Laws. Apparently Russia is also blackmailing the United States, *hence so surprise by Putin*, which is another good reason for the Truth to come out, so that the blackmailing will stop.

2024 Cover of the Economist magazine

Sibrel even has an eyewitness who saw them film "*Apollo 11*" at Cannon Air Force Base (*their "special operations" headquarters*) in June of 1968, who confessed as he was dying, and fearing the Judgment of God, that he was a murderer. He murdered a co-worker at Cannon Air Force Base in 1968. The Military Police conducted an investigation into the murder right before he died. When they asked him why he murdered his co-worker, the former Chief of Security at Cannon Air Force Base said that they had both eye-witnessed the filming of "*the first moon landing*" at their base in 1968, his co-worker thought that it was morally wrong, he was going to tell a reporter in order to expose it to the public (who he felt had a right to know), and the Security Chief murdered his co-worker in order to keep the moon landing fraud a secret. Odd thing to say while you're dying of cancer, if it weren't true.

All of this was verified by Bart Sibrel, who writes in great detail about this amazing deathbed confession in his new book **Moon Man: The True Story of a Filmmaker on the CIA Hit List**, which is available at **Sibrel.com** The whistleblower's surviving son confirmed that all of this is true in the research of Sibrel's book, and the son was even recently threatened with death, face-to-face, by two CIA agents for telling Sibrel this information. The United States Senate Intelligence Committee, the FBI, and even the White House Press Secretary were involved investigating these illegal death threats made by rogue CIA personnel against innocent American citizens.

President Kennedy's own nephew, who has more access to the JFK files than Oliver Stone, says that the CIA killed their own president because he was going to shut them down because of so much corruption in that agency. Defense Secretary during the Vietnam War, Robert McNamara, said before he died that the entire *alleged* attack on a US ship by North Vietnam, because of which congress entered the war, was **entirely fabricated by the CIA and never happened**. Thusly, the corrupt Federal Government killed their own duly elected President and needlessly slaughtered 58,220 of their own soldiers, so I do not think faking an image of the moon on television is too corrupt for them.

If it were not for people's *emotional attachment* to this pride-puffing event, they would see the sad despicable facts as they are, just like the world's most advanced, *and completely impartial*, A.I. did. Or is the most advanced A.I. in the world stupid? *Especially* when it said the new unmanned probe pictures of the lunar surface from China are real *in comparison*.

Alleged "anti-deep-state" people like Glenn Beck, who recently interviewed Bart Sibrel about his book, without reading it, and criticized his book's 16 supportive interactive video links, without watching them, fawn over "*moon astronauts*" like they are gods, instead of the accessory to numerous murders of innocent Americans that they are. In Beck's mind, "*I know America has gotten bad . . . but at least we went to the moon!*" No Glenn. It **IS** that bad. Wake up and smell the manure. **The Bitterest Truth is Better Than The Sweetest Lie**.